

Fort Frances Fire & Rescue Service 2016 Annual Report								
Emergency Response Calls								
EMS	Fires	Pre/Fire	False Alarms	MVC's	CO HazMat etc.	Other Responses	Elevator Rescue	Total
244	10	23	50	18	20	144	2	511

The Fort Frances Fire & Rescue Service Responded to **511 Emergency Calls** for service during 2016. Emergency Medicals Service (EMS) Response Calls accounted for **48% of all our calls**. Out of **244 EMS** call throughout 2016, our members respond to "LEVEL ZERO CALLS". These are calls where our Team Members were on scene in advance of the Paramedic Service, due to the fact they were available at time of the call.

Fire Response Calls: There was a total of 10 (Ten) fire related calls, which accounted for an estimated loss of \$241,000.

Pre-Fire / No Fire Calls: There was a total of 23 (Twenty Three) Pre-Fire / No Fire Calls, 15 (Fifteen) of which were cooking related; no fires actually resulted. However, these Pre-Fire conditions were caught early on before a fire could occur.

Motor Vehicles Crashes (MVC's) Response Calls: There was a total of 18 (Eighteen) MVC Response Calls. Our team responded to MVC's, which resulted in **serious injuries** and **fatalities**.

Carbon Monoxide (CO) & Hazardous Materials (HazMat) Response Calls: There was 20 (Twenty) CO and HazMat calls, which involved actual CO incidents, fuel spills, downed powerlines, as well as Natural Gas leaks.

False Alarm Calls: There was a total of 50 (Fifty) False Alarm Calls, 36 (Thirty Six) were False Fire Alarms, and 14 (Fourteen) were False Carbon Monoxide (CO) Alarm calls.

Assistance To Other Agencies: There were 144 other responses, which consisted of assisting other Agencies, the OPP, Public Service, and other types of responses such as people being stuck between equipment, and/or trapped in their seatbelt etc.

Fire Prevention Inspections: There were **85 Fire Prevention Inspections** completed in 2016, which also consisted of re-inspections and follow-ups. With regards to Vulnerable Occupancies, we have maintained the legislative frequency schedule for fire prevention inspections.

However, a **Technical Bulletin from Fire Underwriters Survey (A Service to Insurers and Municipalities)** states the **Fire Underwriters Survey Recommended Frequency of Fire Prevention Inspections for all occupancies**. Because of our current Full Time staffing level; current schedule; and lack of training ... we do not come close to meeting the recommended Inspection Frequency. As well, the last **Fire Underwriters Survey** was completed in **1987**. With respect our fire apparatus meeting the criteria for full credit in scoring: Our 11-2 (2008) Pumper Truck will receive **full credit** this survey; our 11-1 (1996) Pumper Truck will receive between **10%-20% reduction in credit** this survey because of its age; it is classified as a **2nd Line Duty Apparatus**; our 11-3 (1988) Aerial Ladder Truck will receive a minimum of a **90% reduction in credit** this survey ... it could be more; it is classified as a **Reserve Apparatus**. It's as if we **DO NOT** have an Aerial Ladder Truck. Our town's Aerial Ladder Truck will be **30-years old** next year and needs to be replaced; I will be putting forth a Capital Priority Budget in this year for 2018.

In **1987**, we had 12 (Twelve) Full Time Staff, which in turn allowed for more **Fire Prevention Inspections** from that time forward until resources were eliminated down to our present staffing level of 6 (Six) Full Time Staff; this will be a factor in the **Fire Underwriters Survey Grading**.